



Company Profile Capability Statement

Executive Advisory for Critical Technology and Infrastructure Investments

MIMAR TAKAMUL STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PVT LTD.

Owner-side advisory | Vendor-neutral evaluation | Method-driven project governance

Business introduction note

- MIMAR TAKAMUL is positioned to help project owners and decision-makers protect critical technology and infrastructure investments through independent advisory, design review, baseline governance, vendor-neutral evaluation, execution oversight, and operational readiness support.
- This is a company capability and positioning document prepared for introductory discussions and early-stage client review. Formal engagement remains subject to scope finalization and commercial agreement.

Document Type	Company Profile / Capability Statement
Prepared For	Business Introduction, Client Engagement, Project Support, and Vendor Clarity
Website	https://mimartakamul.com
Document Status	Business Introduction Version
Contact	info@mimartakamul.com

Document classification: external business introduction and capability statement. Not a legal incorporation certificate, statutory filing, or tax document.

Right Decisions | Stronger Baselines | Successful Projects



SECTION 01

Document Index

1. Client Story
2. Execution Story
3. Who We Are
4. Core Positioning
5. What Problem We Solve
6. Service Domains
7. Key Advisory and Governance Services
8. Engagement Lifecycle
9. Value Delivered to Clients
10. Why MIMAR TAKAMUL
11. When to Engage Us
12. What Clients Can Expect
13. What We Do Not Do
14. Representative Capability Areas
15. Chief Executive Officer Profile
16. Typical Clients and Sectors
17. Deliverables We Can Provide
18. Engagement Models
19. Ideal and Middle East Readiness
20. Contact
21. Closing Statement and Document Control



SECTION 02

Client Story, Execution Story and Identity

Client tension, licensing pressure, budget approvals, technical decisions, vendor dependency and execution uncertainty often meet at the same point: the owner has to make the right decision before the project becomes expensive to correct.

1. Client Story

- Owners, promoters, CEOs and senior leaders often need clarity before committing capital.
- They require practical answers on scope, technical direction, budget exposure, vendor comparison, timelines and operational readiness.
- Many projects do not fail because the idea is weak; they fail because baselines, responsibilities and acceptance criteria are weak.

2. Execution Story

- Technology and infrastructure projects fail when design, BOQ, scope, site readiness, vendor submissions and operational expectations are not governed together.
- A strong owner-side baseline reduces rework, uncontrolled change, hidden cost and diluted project outcomes.
- Governance is not paperwork; it is protection for owner investment.

3. Who We Are

- MIMAR TAKAMUL STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PVT LTD. is an advisory-led company positioned to support owners and decision-makers in critical technology and infrastructure investments.
- We work from the owner side, helping projects start right, remain aligned and reach practical readiness.

4. Core Positioning

- Owner-side advisory
- Vendor-neutral evaluation
- Design and documentation baseline review
- Method-driven project governance
- Execution and handover readiness support



SECTION 03

What Problem We Solve

We help owners avoid preventable project weakness by bringing independent technical and techno-commercial discipline before and during implementation.

Common owner risks

- Vendor offers are reviewed without comparable baselines.
- BOQs are treated as final even when design intent is incomplete.
- Technical choices are made under commercial pressure.
- Documentation is insufficient for approval, procurement or handover.
- Execution begins without clear acceptance criteria.

Our advisory value

- Clarify what is required before procurement.
- Validate technical and commercial assumptions.
- Strengthen SOW, BOQ, LLD and implementation baselines.
- Create traceability between decision, design, procurement and execution.
- Support owner-side governance until handover readiness.

Business outcome

- The company exists to help owners protect capital, reduce avoidable rework, improve vendor accountability, and convert investment decisions into stronger project outcomes.



SECTION 04

Service Domains

<p>6.1 IT Infrastructure and Enterprise Technology Systems</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Capacity reviews• Network architecture• Data centres• Servers and storage• Cloud platforms• Enterprise applications• IT operations• Service desk models• ITIL-aligned support structures• Cybersecurity coordination• Business continuity readiness	<p>6.2 Integrated Security and Surveillance Systems</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• CCTV modernization• Video management systems• AI video analytics readiness• Perimeter surveillance• Access control coordination• Command room and SOC review• Recording architecture• Storage sizing• Camera placement and coverage review• Monitoring framework
<p>6.3 ELV and Low Voltage Systems</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Structured cabling• Wireless connectivity• Public address and audio systems• Building management systems• Communication systems• Low-voltage technology interfaces	<p>6.4 Project Governance and Documentation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• SOW review• BOQ validation• Technical-commercial comparison• Tender / RFQ advisory• Implementation governance• Handover readiness review



SECTION 05

Capability Areas

<p>Capacity areas include</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Access control systems• Structured cabling• Wireless links• Communication systems• Low-voltage network infrastructure• Building technology interfaces	<p>ELV integration includes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• CCTV and surveillance• Public address / audio visual systems• Access control and monitoring systems• Networked building systems• Operational technology interfaces
<p>Capacity areas include</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Scope validation• Concept review• SOW review• LLD review• BOQ review• Technical gap analysis• Product and vendor evaluation	<p>Capacity areas include</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Execution governance• Project monitoring• Variation tracking• Progress monitoring• Risk and issue tracking• Commissioning support• Handover documentation support



SECTION 06

Documentation Review and Cyber Advisory

Documentation review

- Client and technical requirements
- BOQ evaluation
- RFP / RFQ review
- Specification review
- Vendor comparison assistance
- Document integrity and traceability review

Cybersecurity and governance services

- Architecture risk awareness
- Identity and access coordination
- Security control review
- Operational resilience support
- Compliance-readiness support
- Security documentation review

Operating principle

- We do not treat cybersecurity, infrastructure, surveillance and operations as isolated subjects. We review their interfaces so that investment decisions remain technically aligned, commercially clear and operationally useful.



SECTION 07

ELV Governance and Engagement Lifecycle

ELV techno-commercial value audit

- Review proposed ELV scope against practical owner expectations.
- Check whether devices, cabling, storage, monitoring and integration are sized correctly.
- Identify gaps between vendor proposal, site condition and operating need.

Documentation and procurement readiness review

- Review SOW, LLD, BOQ and approval documents.
- Strengthen procurement inputs before vendor finalization.
- Create an owner-side reference for comparison and negotiation.

Engagement lifecycle

- Step 1 - Initial understanding
- Step 2 - Baseline review
- Step 3 - Technical gap analysis
- Step 4 - Vendor proposal review
- Step 5 - Decision support
- Step 6 - Implementation governance and handover readiness



SECTION 08

Implementation and Decision Support

Implementation support

- Risk exposure review
- Site readiness support
- Vendor coordination support
- Progress review
- Variation monitoring
- Issue escalation support
- Quality observation support

Decision support summary

- Gap report
- Review note
- Recommendation matrix
- Vendor comparison matrix
- Risk register
- Method statement position
- Clarification list
- Action tracking

Technology decision support

- For every major technical decision, the owner should know what is included, what is excluded, what is assumed, what is not yet proven, and what may create future operational burden.



SECTION 09

Food and Fresh Produce Processing Advisory

Where required, MIMAR TAKAMUL can support evaluation of food-processing, fresh-produce hygiene and process-line concepts from an owner-side techno-commercial angle.

Typical areas

- Process flow understanding
- Equipment comparison
- Ozone washing system review
- Air drying / dewatering evaluation
- Utility requirement review
- Layout and drainage readiness
- Vendor quote evaluation

Support output

- Capacity assumptions
- Technology comparison
- Utility schedule
- Vendor clarification matrix
- Commercial comparison
- Scope and exclusion review
- Close-out action tracking

Important note

- This support is advisory and evaluation oriented. Detailed process design, statutory food-safety certification and specialist process validation remain subject to engagement scope and expert/vendor inputs.



SECTION 10

Value Delivered and Why MIMAR TAKAMUL

Value delivered to clients

- Keep decisions structured before expenditure.
- Improve scope clarity and vendor accountability.
- Identify gaps before they become cost and delay.
- Strengthen BOQ / SOW / design baselines.
- Improve execution visibility and handover readiness.

Why MIMAR TAKAMUL

- Owner-side project lens
- Vendor-neutral evaluation
- Technology and infrastructure experience
- Documentation discipline
- Governance-focused approach
- Practical execution awareness

What makes the approach different

- We combine technical review, commercial visibility and project-governance thinking. The objective is not only to select equipment or vendors; it is to protect the owner's investment objective.



SECTION 11

When to Engage Us and What Clients Can Expect

When to engage

- At concept stage
- Before vendor finalization
- Before BOQ / SOW approval
- Before major procurement decision
- During project recovery
- During execution monitoring
- Before handover or closure

What clients can expect

- A structured review process
- Clear technical-commercial observations
- Practical recommendations
- Vendor-neutral comparison
- Owner-side decision support
- Improved baseline clarity
- Professional documentation

Primary expectation

- Clients can expect clarity, discipline and a method-driven advisory process. The goal is to improve decision quality, protect investment value and strengthen project outcomes.



SECTION 12

What We Do Not Do

To preserve independence and vendor-neutrality, our role is intentionally positioned as advisory, evaluation and owner-side governance support.

We are not positioned as

- A product reseller
- A hardware vendor
- A manpower supplier
- A turnkey EPC contractor
- A statutory approval agency
- A licensing authority

We avoid conflicts such as

- Vendor-led bias
- Commission-driven product pushing
- Unverified operational claims
- Scope assumptions without documentation
- Execution responsibility beyond agreed advisory scope

Independence note

- A clear advisory boundary helps owners receive transparent review, stronger comparison and unbiased decision support.



SECTION 13

Representative Capability Areas

<p>CCTV coverage review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Camera count and coverage validation• Blind-spot review• Camera schedule review• VMS and recording architecture• Storage and retention review• Command-room readiness	<p>CCTV and perimeter security</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Perimeter monitoring readiness• PIDS / fence detection interface review• AI analytics suitability• Alarm-to-camera verification concept• SOC display and workflow review
<p>IT infrastructure and data centre readiness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Network and server review• Data centre support readiness• Connectivity review• Backup and resilience review• Business-continuity alignment	<p>Digital platform and application environment review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Website and platform readiness• Application integration readiness• Security and operational continuity• Supportability and governance review
<p>ELV and low voltage systems review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Structured cabling• Access control systems• Audio / visual systems• Building technology interfaces	<p>Layout and documentation review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• General arrangement review• Utility interfaces• Site readiness checklist• Commissioning and handover support



SECTION 14

Chief Executive Officer Profile - Capability Lens

The advisory approach reflects senior technology leadership experience across IT infrastructure, enterprise systems, infrastructure technology, surveillance, ELV, service management and project governance.

Experience areas

- IT infrastructure
- Enterprise systems
- Data centre and network environments
- Business continuity and resilience
- IT service desk models
- Operations management
- Vendor interaction
- Integrated security systems

Professional orientation

- Owner-side thinking
- Vendor-neutral review
- Baseline discipline
- Technical-commercial judgment
- Execution governance
- Operational readiness
- Documentation quality

Public profile policy

- This public capability statement remains company-led and institutionally positioned. Personal names and individual details are intentionally not used unless separately approved for a specific proposal or correspondence.



SECTION 15

Typical Clients and Sectors

MIMAR TAKAMUL can support organizations and stakeholders involved in critical technology and infrastructure decisions.

Typical clients

- Project owners
- Promoters
- CEOs and senior decision-makers
- EPC stakeholders
- Infrastructure developers
- Industrial facility owners
- Consultants
- Technology stakeholders

Typical sectors

- Hospitals and healthcare
- IT service facilities
- Industrial plants
- Manufacturing facilities
- Infrastructure projects
- Commercial and institutional buildings
- Campuses
- Logistics and warehousing facilities

Engagement context

- The strongest fit is where the owner needs clarity before procurement, stronger baselines before execution, or structured governance during implementation.



SECTION 16

Deliverables We Can Provide

Technology advisory notes

- Project review report
- Gap analysis report
- Scope assessment
- SOW review comments
- LLD review comments
- BOQ review report
- Vendor proposal comparison matrix
- Product suitability review

Documentation outputs

- Decision support note
- Project governance tracker
- Risk register
- Action tracker
- Site observation report
- Execution review memo
- Commissioning-readiness checklist
- Operational handover readiness report

Deliverable discipline

- Deliverables are prepared to help decision-makers understand what is clear, what is missing, what requires vendor clarification, and what must be closed before procurement or execution.



SECTION 17

Engagement Models

18.1 One-time advisory review

- Use case: when a project owner needs independent review of a proposal, document, vendor offer, BOQ, design, or decision point.
- Typical areas: BOQ review, LLD review, vendor proposal review, CCTV/VMS design review, IT infrastructure review, ELV scope review.

18.2 RFQ / proposal review and techno-commercial assessment

- Use case: when the owner needs a structured way to compare vendors or evaluate technical and commercial proposals.
- Typical outputs: proposal comparison, design observations, cost reasonableness check, scope mismatch review.

18.3 Project governance and execution support

- Use case: during execution, implementation, commissioning or handover.
- Typical outputs: progress review, variation tracking, technical compliance review, site observations.

18.4 Baseline correction and recovery support

- Use case: when scope, vendor alignment, project health or implementation direction has drifted.
- Typical outputs: gap closure plan, recovery recommendation, close-out action tracking.



SECTION 18

Engagement Models Continued

<p>18.5 Monthly advisory retainer</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Use case: organizations requiring ongoing advisory access, review and decision support across multiple activities.• Typical outputs: consultation calls, ongoing review, executive advisory note, monthly action summary.	<p>18.6 Department / function support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Use case: where a client needs external review strength for technology, IT, security or infrastructure functions.• Typical outputs: governance review, operations review, documentation support.
<p>18.7 Vendor and project decision support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Use case: when multiple vendors, technologies and choices must be evaluated together.• Typical outputs: vendor clarification questions, answer evaluation, final recommendation note.	<p>18.8 Ideal and Middle East readiness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• MIMAR TAKAMUL is positioned to support business discussions and opportunity readiness for Indian and Middle East markets, subject to scope and engagement terms.



SECTION 19

Ideal and Middle East Readiness / Contact

Ideal sectors

- Healthcare institutions
- Commercial and institutional facilities
- Industrial facilities
- Infrastructure-related projects
- Technology-intensive project owners
- Organizations seeking governance clarity

Typical engagement triggers

- New project approval
- Vendor proposal review
- BOQ or design uncertainty
- Project delay or execution drift
- Technology modernization
- Operational readiness concern

Contact

- MIMAR TAKAMUL STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PVT LTD.
- Email: info@mimartakamul.com
- Website: <https://mimartakamul.com>
- Business Introduction Version
- All engagements are subject to mutually agreed scope, commercial terms, availability and formal authorization.



SECTION 20

Closing Statement and Document Control

MIMAR TAKAMUL STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PVT LTD. is positioned to help project owners and decision-makers make structured, technically informed and commercially aware project decisions.

Closing statement

- The company's role is to bring clarity before decisions, discipline before procurement, and owner-side visibility during execution. With stronger baselines, vendor-neutral review, and structured governance, projects can move with better confidence and fewer avoidable surprises.

Document Type	Company Profile / Capability Statement
Prepared By	MIMAR TAKAMUL STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PVT LTD.
Status	Business Introduction Version
Contact	info@mimartakamul.com https://mimartakamul.com
Confidentiality	For business introduction and capability discussion purposes only

End of document